

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/885,231	06/19/2001	Michael O'Connor	42390P10707	4421
21906	7590 09/24/2002			
TROP PRUNER & HU, PC			EXAMINER	
8554 KATY F SUITE 100			ESPLIN, DAVID B	
HOUSTON, T	X 7/024		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2851	
			DATE MAILED: 09/24/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			A/1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/885,231	O'CONNOR ET AL.	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	D. Ben Esplin	2851	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated abandonment of this applicated abandonent which	ation. A proper reply to a	
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire learned ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).  Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See MPE R 1.136(a) and the appropriate ex unt of the fee. The appropriate ex originally set in the final Office act	EP tension xtension tion; or
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a)  they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
<ul><li>(c)  they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or</li></ul>	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying	; the
(d) they present additional claims without cancelling NOTE:	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	on(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendr	nent
5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: Sec		dered but does NOT place	the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•	
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)□ approved or b)□ disapp	roved by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·	
10. Other:			



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Although the claim language suggests the means for switching is included in the means for inserting content as Applicant points out, the specification shows that the switching is carried out by a component different from the component that inserts content. Even if these two separate components are enclosed in a dotted line, or mounted together in the apparatus, they represent two distinct elements of the apparatus. Thus the claimed subject matter, in light of the specification and drawings, is anticipated in the prior art.

RUSSELL ADAMS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800